UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Minnesota

United States of America					
v.)			
Deon Leshawn Holmes) Case No: 08-cr-232-009 (MJD/AJB)			
Date of Original Judgment: Date of Previous Amended Judgment:	11/18/2008) USM No: <u>1</u>	4065-041	V	
) Katherine Me	an an dara	•	
(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)		Defendant's Atte		AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA	
ORDER REGARDING				DUCTION	
PURSUAI	NT TO 18 U.S	S.C. § 3582(c))(2)		
Upon motion of the defendant \$ 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisubsequently been lowered and made retroactive \$ 994(u), and having considered such motion, as and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C.	isonment imposed e by the United St nd taking into acc	I based on a guide tates Sentencing C ount the policy sta	eline sente Commissic atement se	ncing range that has on pursuant to 28 U.S. et forth at USSG §1B1	
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:					
□ DENIED.	defendant's previ	ously imposed ser	ntence of i	imprisonment <i>(as refleci</i>	ted in
the last judgment issued) of 108	mc	onths is reduced to	о	86 months .	
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDEL Previous Offense Level: 29 Criminal History Category: VI	Amend	rior to Any Depa led Total Offense ninal History Cate	Level:	25 VI	
Previous Guideline Range: 151-188 months		ended Guideline I		120-137 months	
II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO THE AMEN	DED GUIDELE	NE RANGE			
$\ensuremath{\square}$ The reduced sentenced is within the amended	guideline range.				
 ∑ The previous term of imprisonment imposed voil of sentencing as a result of a substantial assistant comparably less than the amended guideline ranto The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline. 	ice departure or R ge.		-		time
II. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS				•	
In considering a § 3582(c)(2) motion, this Court establishing the offense level. <u>United States v. A</u> of its previous factual decisions intact when decithe facts available to the Court at the original tim Defendant accountable for more than 150 grams reduced sentence from the new Guideline range	Adams, 104 F.3d I iding whether to a ne of sentencing, of cocaine base.	028, 1030 (8th Ci apply a guideline r the Court conclud Defendant is the	ir. 1997). retroactive les that the refore gra	The Court must "leavely." Id. at 1031. Base ere is no basis to hold nted a proportionally	e all
Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of t	he judgment date	d 11/18/200	08 sh	nall remain in effect.	
IT IS SO ORDERED. Order Date: - 3- 2	<u> </u>	htJ.	2		
•	1	Ju	udge's signa	iture	
Effective Date:	C	hief Judge Micha	el J. Davi	s, U.S. District Court	

Printed name and title

(if different from order date)